

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL REV. APPLICATION NO.25 OF 2006

Uday Atmaram Chavan .. Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.V.N.Shingnapurkar for applicant

Mr.J.C.Satpute for respondent No.2

Mr.R.Y.Mirza, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 30th November 2006.

P.C.

. After this matter was argued for some time, at the request of learned Advocate for the

applicant, application is allowed to be withdrawn and dismissed as such.

2. However, the order under challenge being interlocutory, all contentions, while challenging the same, are kept open for being raised in appropriate proceedings in case the applicant is aggrieved by the final order. Needless to state that while challenging the final order, if adverse to the applicant, he can always raise the issue that the sanction order is vitiated and all contentions in that behalf of both sides are expressly kept open. Ad-interim order stands vacated forthwith.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)